

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,666	31,666 10/08/2003		Kevin I. Bertness	C382.12-0169	7255
27367	7590	05/30/2006	EXAMINER		INER
WESTMA	N CHAM	IPLIN & KELLY, I	TSO, EDWARD H		
	SUITE 1400 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH				PAPER NUMBER
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/681,666	BERTNESS, KEVIN I.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward H. Tso	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notan Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and a country boundary will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig In than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
AMENDMENTS	to a color and a loan comment of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.35(a))		impliant Amendment (PTOI -324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mpiant, monament (r 1 oz oz 1).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the second of t	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will not be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1:116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	•		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). 4.1	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2838

13. Other: _____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: to make integral of separate parts is an obvious design modification. MOre specifically, the use of a light integrated on the tester probes would free one of the mechanic hands for other task while direct light is pointing to wherever the probes are pointing.